Barcelona Supercomputing Center
Centro Nacional de Supercomputación

EXCELENCIA SEVERO OCHOA

How much soil dust aerosol is man-made?

Martina Klose, Carlos Pérez García-Pando, Adrien Deroubaix, Paul Ginoux, Ron Miller

12/11/2018

AGU Fall Meeting 2018

Dust sources – natural and anthropogenic

- **Anthropogenic** – dust source associated with agricultural land use
  - Mineral dust only (no urban pollution)
  - Not considered: Emissions from vehicles (dirt roads, tillage, recreational use); military operations
  - Not considered: Indirect anthropogenic sources, e.g. hydrological
- Dust emissions from anthropogenic sources can **impact daily life**, not only in (semi-)arid areas
  - 1930s Dust Bowl, USA
  - Traffic accidents, e.g. 2011 in northern Germany

→ Global impact?

Wikipedia (Photo: Arthur Rothstein)

spiegel.de

Dust from anthropogenic sources

- Estimates range from < 10 to 50%

  (e.g. *Tegen and Fung*, 1995; *Sokolik and Toon*, 1996; *Tegen et al.*, 2004; *Mahowald et al.*, 2004)

- *Ginoux et al.* (2012) estimated that anthropogenic sources contribute 25% to total dust emissions
  - Areas with > 30% land use (HYDE 2, *Klein Goldewijk*, 2001) were considered as anthropogenic sources
  - FoO of MODIS DeepBlue dust optical depth (DOD) exceeding a threshold of 0.2
  - Resolution 0.1° × 0.1°
  - Offline dust emissions: *Ginoux et al.* (2001) parameterization with uniform threshold wind speeds, combined with FoO

FoO of DOD > 0.2

Based on *Ginoux et al.* (2012)



Advanced constraining using numerical experiments

- Update recent advances from *Ginoux et al.* (2012) and combine with integrated numerical modeling system
  - **Updated land-use data set** (HYDE 3.2.1, *Klein Goldewijk et al.*, 2017)
  - **Fully coupled dust emission** parameterizations
  - Dynamic **threshold friction velocity** for sediment entrainment
  - Satellite-based representation of **photosynthetic and non-photosynthetic vegetation cover**
  - **4D dust concentration field** allowing in-depth evaluation
- NMMB-MONARCH (*Perez et al.*, 2011; *Badia et al.*, 2017)
  - Multiscale Online Non-hydrostatic AtmospheRe CHemistry model
  - Global setup (1° x 1.4° horizontal resolution)
  - 24 vertical layers
  - Currently 1 year (2012)
  - FoO used for tagging (no scaling)



Barcelona Supercomputing Center
Centro Nacional de Supercomputación

Anthropogenic land use

- **HYDE 3.2.1** (*Klein Goldewijk et al.*, 2017)
- Data on annual basis; spatial resolution ~0.1 degree resolution
- Land use categories considered here:
  - Cropland: Arable land and permanent crops
  - Pasture: grazing land with an aridity index > 0.5, intensively used/managed
  - Converted Rangeland: grazing land placed on potential forest area, less intensively used
  - Rangeland: natural, unconverted grazing land with an aridity index < 0.5, less or unmanaged
- Land-use scenarios tested:

(**LU1**) Cropland, pasture

(**LU2**) Cropland, pasture, converted rangeland

(**LU3**) Cropland, pasture, converted rangeland, rangeland



Threshold friction velocity for sediment entrainment

- **Dynamic threshold based on soil texture** (*Iversen and White*, 1992/*Marticorena and Bergametti*, 1995; *Shao and Lu*, 2000)
- Corrections for **roughness element cover** (*Raupach et al.*, 1993) and **soil moisture** (Fecan et al., 1999)
- Roughness element cover is based on **photosynthetic and non-photosynthetic vegetation** (*Guerschman et al.*, 2015)
  - ➢ Global coverage at 5km resolution on monthly basis
  - ➢ Vegetation input consistent between dust module and atmospheric/land-surface components in MONARCH

Roughness correction (*Raupach et al.*, 1993)



FoO of DOD > 0.2 (*Ginoux et al.*, 2012)



Barcelona Supercomputing Center
Centro Nacional de Supercomputación

Constraining the dust cycle with observations

- Obtain a best-estimate by minimizing the error between model results and suit of measurements (*Cakmur et al.*, 2006)
  - ➢ DOD [AERONET, MODIS], dust concentration, dust deposition

  → model optimization factor



- Evaluating spatial and temporal distribution of dust, relative amount of dust load and deposition, etc. to **identify model weaknesses** and **test hypotheses**





Climatological data: University of Miami Ocean Aerosol Network, D. L. Savoie and J. M. Prospero

Dust optical depth – MODIS and MONARCH (boreal spring)



- Spatio-temporal co-location between MODIS and model data
- Good agreement between model and observations
- Slight underestimation of DOD in the Arabian Peninsula and the Taklamakan Desert; slight overestimation around the Bodele Depression

Dust optical depth – MODIS and MONARCH (boreal spring)



Barcelona Supercomputing Center
Centro Nacional de Supercomputación

Frequency of occurrence – MODIS and MONARCH



- Comparison with MODIS helps to **evaluate source activity** in terms of both **area and intensity**
- Good agreement; overestimation over SW Asia in summer

Frequency of occurrence – MODIS and MONARCH

- Extent of anthropogenic source area determines seasonal variation of anthropogenic dust contribution



Anthropogenic contribution – preliminary results



Region	Anthro. emission fraction (avg ± std)	Regional contribution to total emission (avg ± std)
N Africa	7.0 ± 8.5	53.5 ± 4.9
S Africa	0.1 ± 0.2	0.2± 0.1
Middle East	15.4 ± 17.4	31.7 ± 4.8
NW Asia	19.1 ± 26.3	5.7 ± 4.0
SW Asia	31.6 ± 22.0	3.7 ± 2.3
NE Asia	21.3 ± 22.4	6.9 ± 3.0
Australia	12.8 ± 18.1	0.1 ± 0.1
S America	16.8 ± 22.3	1.0 ± 0.6
N America	31.0 ± 26.7	1.1 ± 0.6
Europe	34.8 ± 17.4	2.7 ± 1.7

- **Global anthropogenic fraction about ~10%** when using emission scheme from *Ginoux et al.* (2001) and HYDE 3.2.1 cropland and pasture (EXP3)
- Consideration of rangeland in anthropogenic fraction leads to estimate similar to that using HYDE 2 (cropland and pasture) → **large uncertainty due to anthropogenic area**
- Preliminary: Tests using **different emission schemes** (EXP6: Shao, 2004; EXP7: Kok et al., 2014) provide additional **insight into variability**

Summary and outlook

- Anthropogenic dust sources contribute to the global dust load
- Main uncertainties are (to reduce and/or understand):
- **Land-surface conditions, in particular for coarse global grid**
  - Refined use of source attribution using new dataset and scenarios
  - Higher-resolution global model runs
  - Expansion of observational constraints
- **Dust emission**
  - Dynamical threshold friction velocity and drag partition
  - Use of different dust emission parameterizations
- **Meteorological dust drivers**
  - Moist convective dust storms (haboobs)
- Preliminary results suggest anthropogenic sources contribute about 10% to global dust emissions



Barcelona Supercomputing Center
Centro Nacional de Supercomputación

Thank you

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 789630.

martina.klose@bsc.es